

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/702,298 | 10/31/2000 | Steven A. Bogen | 1159.1004-005 | 04-005 3668 | |
| 2.000 | 7590 10/03/2002 I, BROOK, SMITH & RI | EYNOLDS, P.C. | EXAMI | NER | |
| 530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 913 | A ROAD | ALEXANDER, LYLE | | | |
| | MA 01742-9133 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1743 DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002 | 8' | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Annlined: 81 | | A1:4-1 | <u> </u> | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No | . 🕎 | Applicant(s) | 4-0 | | | | |
| °Office Action Summary | | 09/702,298 | | BOGEN ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Lyle A Alexande | | 1743 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communic | ation(s) filed on | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | | — · nis action is non-f | inal | | | | | | |
| , _ | <i>,</i> — | | | rescution as to t | ho marite ie | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 3-16 is/are pend | ing in the application | ո. | | | • | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are reject | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | · | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objecte | d to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on | is/are: a)□ acce | pted or b)⊡ objec | ted to by the Exan | niner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is o | bjected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an | d 120 | • | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of | | | - | | al application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the f | foreign language pro | ovisional applicat | on has been rece | eived. | , | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | = · = / - · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P | | 4) 5) .5.6 . 6) | Interview Summary Notice of Informal Po Other: | | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office A | ction Summary | | Part | of Paper No. 8 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298

Art Unit: 1743

Ü

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-9 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,645,114. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to a slide staining apparatus having means for handling the slides, dispensing/aspirating fluids to the slides and heating the slide.

Claims 3-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,096,271 and 6,183,693 respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to a slide staining apparatus having means for handling the slides, dispensing/aspirating fluids to the slides and heating the slide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298

Art Unit: 1743

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b,e) as being clearly anticpated by Rogers et al. or Tseung et al. respectively.

The Office has considered the subject matter of this application as going back to the 5,654,114 patent which has a filing date of 5/31/94.

The cited prior art teaches a slide staining method and apparatus where samples/stains are deposited on the slide, means to remove excess fluid from the slide and heating means.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rhett et al. teach an automated staining device that is attached to and controlled by a computer. Column 3 lines 34+ teach a robotic delivery system that delivers reagent, buffer solutions and air to the glass slides. The system consists of an X and Y axis delivery systems for controlling the orientation of the slide and a Z head

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298

Art Unit: 1743

4

for dispensing the reagent, buffer solutions and air to the glass slides. Column 10 lines 57+ teach various incubation times which has been read on the claimed heater element.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

September 30, 2002